



**MES BUILDERS ASSOCIATION OF INDIA (REGD)**  
807-808 Sahyog 58, Nehru Place, New Delhi – 110019  
Tel No. 49537644 E-mail: [mesbai75@yahoo.com](mailto:mesbai75@yahoo.com) Website: [www.mesbai.in](http://www.mesbai.in)

Circular No. 24

Dated: 24<sup>th</sup> Jan 2026

To Members,

Minutes of Virtual Meeting of Headquarter Office Bearers held on 16 January 2026.

The following persons were present.

1. Sh. G.S. Mago, President
2. Sh. Kumar Ashok, Sr. Vice President
3. Sh. Sandeep Srivastava, Hony. General Secretary
4. Sh. Shiv Kumar Gupta, Hony. Treasurer
5. Sh. Prasanta Mahanta, Hony. Joint General Secretary
6. Sh. C. Balasatish, Vice President
7. Sh. Gurvinder Singh Oberoi, Vice President Central Region – A
8. Sh. Sunil Panwar, Vice President Central Region – B
9. Sh. Pankaj Kr. Prahladka, Vice President Eastern Region – A
10. Sh. Ashok Kandoi, Vice President Eastern Region – B
11. Sh. Vinod Behl, Vice President Northern Region
12. Sh. M. Sundararajan, Vice President Southern (S) Region
13. Sh. Arvind Mittal, Vice President South Western Region
14. Sh. Mahesh Jain, Vice President Western Region

### **Opening Remarks**

**Sh Sandeep Srivastava.** I want to start by wishing you all a happy New Year and welcoming you to the first meeting of 2026. This meeting would not have been conceivable in its current format if a different team had taken over. I now ask that the President, Shri G.S. Mago, give the opening remarks to start the session.

**Sh GS Mago :** Thank you Shri Sandeep Ji. It is my privilege to warmly welcome all the office bearers participating in this meeting. On this auspicious occasion, I pray to God for good health, Peace and prosperity for everyone in the New year. As we move forward, let us remain resolute in our commitment to the aims and duties enshrined in our MOA and collectively strive to identify effective solutions to the challenges facing our Association. With these words, I request Shri Sandeep Srivastava, Hony Gen Secy to kindly proceed with the agenda.

**Shri Sandeep Srivastava.** As President Shri GS Mago correctly stated, everyone is asked to pay close attention and respectfully and patiently voice their opinions during today's meeting. As I've said on a number of places, productive discussion leads to significant solutions. Long-running legal disputes not only persist but also progressively damage the Association's reputation and dignity. For MES BAI to run effectively and with unity, it is crucial to communicate this viewpoint to everyone involved.

The first item on the agenda for today is the issue of enrolment renewal, specifically the non-issuance of renewal letters to several contractors that are valid until December 31, 2025, and the Association's next line of action. Our regional vice presidents, who have been working hard on this

matter, have received two or three contacts from us in this regard. Although this has been a methodical and time-bound procedure, teamwork has made it easier and more effective. According to the most recent report, over 70% of the builders have finished their enrolment renewal cycle. It was stated that the extension given until November 30, 2025, would be the last one; nevertheless, because of our team's persistent efforts, the deadline was extended to December 31, 2025. I applaud each and every one of you for your hard work in your individual areas. Overall, the development has been satisfactory. I now extend an invitation to each region's vice president to provide updates and their opinions.

**Item 2(a) of Agenda : To discuss matter of Renewal of Enlistment : Non issue of Renewal letter for N number of Contracts upto 31<sup>st</sup> Dec 2025 and further action to be taken for that by Association**

**Shri Vinod Behl.** Thank you Sir. The first problem pertains to builders whose tenders have been cancelled despite having settled all their dues, their enlistment is still not being renewed. The second issue is that many builders even after making repeated and sincere efforts, are unable to complete the required updates on the online portal. This technical and procedural difficulty is causing significant inconvenience and delay in the renewal process.

**Sh GS Mago.** Sorry to interrupt you. I just want to ask you that if they are not able to update on portal then they can send hard copies also. As per my knowledge portal was working fine after initial hiccup.

**Sh Vinod Behl.** To the best of my knowledge, the portal was functioning properly, however, it takes 8 to 10 days for the uploaded documents to be processed and reflected on the system for renewal. Now we are therefore advising the concerned builders to submit an appeal to the E-in-C's Branch if they have received a letter stating that they have been d-enlisted due to their inability to upload documents on the portal. They are also being informed to contact the E-in-C Branch directly or on before 31 January 2026 for further clarification and resolution. I will be forwarding the relevant letter to HQ today for necessary action.

**Sh Mahesh Jain.** Good morning Sir. In my region, approximately 50 percent of the renewal cases have been completed while the remaining 50 percent are still pending, primarily due to the Chief Engineer being on leave for about 20 days. For the pending cases a circular has already been issued indicating the deadline for completion of the renewal process has been extended upto 31 March 2026. With regard to the cases of cancellation, the matters pertaining to stand still remain pending. There are only two cases where the contractors were unable to upload their documents before 30 November 2025. We will be approaching the E-in-C or the concerned enlisting authority to facilitate renewal in these cases.

**Sh Ashok Kandoi.** In my region, the cases fall under two jurisdictions namely ADG (P) Guwahati and the Eastern Command. The majority of the cases under ADG (P) have already been renewed and in the Eastern Command, approximately 290 cases have been completed. The remaining cases are currently under process and are expected to be renewed shortly.

**Sh Pankaj Kr Prahladka.** Renewal process in our region is very smooth. Around 90 percent cases are renewed and the pending cases will be completed till end of this month. So there is no problem in Eastern Command.

**Sh Gurivinder Singh Oberoi.** In our region as well, more than 90 percent of the cases have been successfully renewed. The few pending cases are primarily due to the cancellation of tenders and we are hopeful that these will be resolved in due course. Some cases have also been delayed owing to personal constraints faced by the concerned builder. With due respect, I would like to submit that timely cooperation from the individuals concerned is essential, when they take initiative to assist themselves, it become much easier for the Association to extend its support.

**Sh Sandeep Srivastava.** Some people have taken a very strict stance. Additionally, we have received a few complaints claiming that certain tasks are not completed without financial demands, and in certain cases, our builders are being asked for money. We would like to make it clear that the Association is steadfastly devoted to making sure that all procedures are carried out strictly in

compliance with the established norms and procedures, free from any kind of improper or undue influence. To maintain openness and integrity, we will keep pursuing this issue with diligence.

**Sh Gurvinder Singh Oberoi.** Sir we always want to help our members but sometimes they bypass us and contact directly to the higher authority.

**Sh Sunil Panwar.** In our Command approximately 95% of the cases have been completed. The remaining cases are pending due to court cases as well as non submission of required documents within the stipulated time. I think that our efforts should be focused on those who are proactive with the process rather than expending time on cases where the concerned builders have not taken the necessary steps to submit their documents.

**Sh Sandeep Srivastava.** This is a valid point raised by Sh Sunil Panwar that a significant number of the pending cases pertain to firms seeking renewal which is reconstitution builders upgradation.

**Sh G.S Mago.** Up-gradation of categories is pending in certain cases where the contracts have been cancelled. Moreover several cases forwarded by the respective Command Headquarters are lying pending at the Engineer-in-Chief's (E-in-C) office, as is often the case, without any substantive action being taken. This continued delay is a matter of concern, although we are regularly pursuing E-in-C office for approving the pending cases.

**Sh Gurivinder Singh Oberoi.** Sir, the documents being sought by the E-in-C's Branch must be duly submitted by our members in a timely and complete manner.

**Sh Balasatish.** 90% cases are completed and the remaining 10% being cleared. This is the first time in anyway all the renewal cases have been cleared.

**Sh Kumar Ashok.** The renewal process is progressing smoothly and most of the contractors have successfully renewed their enlistment. The only cases currently pending are those arising from death related matters which require additional formalities and documentation.

**Sh M Sundararajan.** In our region the majority of cases have been completed. A few cases remain pending due to incomplete documentation and instances where contractors have not executed any work during the last five years. Moreover, certain cases are pending with the Southern Command and ADG (P) Chennai, however these are also in the process of being addressed and cleared.

**Sh Shiv Kumar Gupta.** Renewal cases for all contractors have been cleared except for those where the required documents have not been submitted properly. Owing to the lack of complete documentation, these cases remain pending.

**Sh Prasanta Mahanta.** This time all the renewal cases of Eastern Command and ADG (P) Guwahati have been cleared by fulfilling all the paper formalities.

**Sh Sandeep Srivastava.** Let us discuss on agenda point (d) as it is similar to point (a).

## **Item 2 (d) of Agenda: Non renewal of Enlistment of Firms for the Cyclic Period 2020-2025 due to cancellation of Tenders.**

**Sh G.S Mago.** I appreciated all the Vice Presidents for presenting the status of their respective regions. The Southern Command, in particular, has done a commendable job in expediting the renewal of enlistments. The Southern Command has also instructed members who have been enlisted to ensure that their documents are duly uploaded on the portal. It has been intimated by the E-in-C officials that cases pertaining to renewal of contracts, which are pending due to cancellation of contracts, minutes for approving those cases where no dues are due for either side upto cancellation of 2-3 contracts is pending in E-in-C for approval.

In view of the above, we may also plan a visit to the E-in-C office, alongwith a structured agenda to discuss and resolve the pending issues.

**Item No 2 (b) of Agenda: Problems faced by the contractors fraternity on account of implementation of eMB withholding of large payments of contractors and proposed action to be taken by the Association in their matter.**

**Sh Sandeep Srivastava.** We were given the assurance that the eMB system problem will be fixed soon during our November 2025 meeting with the E-in-C Branch. However, since then, two or three PCDA offices have stated that they are unable to deploy the eMB because their lower-level employees lack the necessary tools or training. Simultaneously, PCDA Northern Command and PCDA Central Command have sent us letters stating that payments will not be processed without correct eMB entries. We reassure the members that we will hold a follow-up meeting as soon as possible to address these issues and strive towards a consistent and workable solution.

**Sh C Balasatish.** The eMB system is recently started in Vishakhapatnam and no difficulties are faced by the builders. Further no comments can be offered on this issue.

**Sh Gurvinder Singh Oberoi.** The eMB system has now been implemented in our area and no problem have arisen so far. However, the process remains slow and require further improvement. If the system is not streamlined in a timely manner, both the department and the builders may face significant difficulties in the end of this financial year.

**Sh Sunil Panwar.** The main issue with the eMB system is the delay in payments, which is largely due to the inability to upload tenders in a timely manner on the website by the E-8 Section of the Chief Engineer as well as by the CWE and GE offices. It is essential that tenders are uploaded strictly as per the prescribed time schedule so that contractors do not face financial hardship. In the eMB system, the AOs are also involved. The process, in my view should be routed directly to the AO authority for verification of the eMB, rather than passing through multiple levels and channels via the GE which causes further delay. I have already addressed this concern through written communications regarding the delayed payments to contractors. I submit that this matter should also be taken up with the E-in-C branch for necessary intervention and resolution.

**Sh Vinod Behl.** All the points elaborated by Sh Sunil Panwar are correct and I agree with him.

**Sh G.S Mago.** I concur with the proposal put forward by Shri Sunil Panwar. The Engineering and Accounts department must work in close coordination to ensure the early finalization of payments, so that builders do not suffer undue hardship. I would also request all the Vice Presidents to organize workshops in their respective regions to educate builders about the eMB system, enabling them to adopt and use it more effectively and with confidence. Secondly, as discussed earlier, repair and maintenance contracts should not fall under the eMB category as per the provisions of IAFW-2249. We may deliberate on whether this issue should be formally brought to the notice of E-in-C Branch for clarification and appropriate action or otherwise.

**Sh. Gurvinder Singh Oberoi :**We should write to the Engineer-in-Chief's (E-in-C) Branch highlighting that the Department currently lacks adequate and trained personnel to upload tenders efficiently, which is resulting in delays in the tendering process. We may request the E-in-C Branch to issue necessary instructions to the lower formations to ensure that the personnel involved in contractual matters are properly trained and equipped for timely and accurate uploading of tenders.

**Sh. Sandeep Srivastava :** To emphasise that outsourcing contracts are being finalised at each MES GE office, we should write to the E-in-C Branch. In order to effectively manage the contract department and guarantee smooth and efficient operation, it is recommended that three to five employees in each office receive sufficient training on E-8 subjects.

**Item 2(c) of Agenda : Delay in release of payments of Contractors for past two months for special repair carry over works and their heads.**

**Sh. Sandeep Srivastava** : I'll give the inputs I currently have, and then I'll ask for your opinions. It is anticipated that during the first and second weeks, funds for specific repair and carryover work will begin to flow. I will, however, check this information with Mr. Naveen and confirm the position as soon as possible. I now ask each member to offer their opinions and updates according to their local areas.

**Sh. Gurvinder Singh Oberoi** :In my region, funds are presently available under Code Head 480. However no funds have been allocated for carry-over works. I have already addressed this matter through a letter to the Command HQ for their consideration and necessary action.

**Sh. Sunil Panwar** : The situation in every command is same.

**Sh. Sandeep Srivastava** :For this matter, we will convene a meeting after about ten days. I will confirm to all of you within the next two to three days regarding the quantum of funds allotted. I request everyone to kindly share the issues and concerns being faced in this regard, so that we can compile them and take them up effectively in our proposed meeting with the DGW.

**Item 2(e) of Agenda : Discuss on DRRC letters received on E-mail in MES BAI HQ.**

**Sh. G.S. Mago** :Everyone is aware that DRRC meetings were held on 26 December 2025 and 28 December 2025. In the meeting of 26 December 2025, the DRRC invited certain members alongwith the agenda points. It is pertinent to mention that the DRRC had constituted two separate groups namely, the "DRRC Group" and "Only DRRC. In the 'only DRRC' group, the President and the Hony Gen Secretary were not informed and included.

Furthermore, the President and the Hony Gen Secy were not informed about the meeting convened on 28 December 2025, despite it being clearly stipulated in the Memorandum of Association (MOA) that the President and the Hony Gen Secretary shall be ex-officio members of the DRRC and of all Committees/Sub-Committees. It is therefore mandatory that both be informed and invited for meetings. In their absence having not invited, the meeting conducted lacked the requisite quorum. Moreover, the minutes of the meeting dated 28 December 2025 initially recorded the name of Shri Ajay Jain DRRC member as present, whereas he subsequently communicated in writing that he had not attended the meeting. The DRRC later revised the minutes to reflect his absence. In view of these discrepancies, and in accordance with the provisions of the MOA, the meeting of 28 December 2025 stands void.

It is also noted that the Hon'ble Court's decision on the election matter is still pending. As per the directions, all Branches and Headquarters may continue with their routine activities, except those relating to elections and the AGM. We had also convened a joint meeting of petitioners and respondents at the PHD House in an effort to arrive at an amicable solution, however the discussions did not yield the desired outcome. I now request all members to share their views so that we may proceed towards an amicable decision within the parameters laid down by the Hon'able Court.

**Sh. Sandeep Srivastava** : Every person may have a distinct viewpoint and goal on this issue. However, Page No. 23 Clause (xii) makes it very explicit that any election-related concerns must be addressed to the DRRC within 15 days of the conclusion of the Headquarters election process. In this instance, an election-related concern surfaced and was submitted to the DRRC, which then called a meeting to address the issue. Court cases were then started. Both the first and second CECs are unwilling to back down at this point. Furthermore, we were not informed of the DRRC meeting that took place on December 28, 2025, which, in my opinion, simply made things more confusing rather than simpler.

Therefore, I propose that all court proceedings started after the DRRC summit in May 2025 be withdrawn as a first step. After that, we ought to call a General Body Meeting and carry out the election procedure in an open and systematic way.

**Sh. Vinod Behl** :We are democratically elected representatives of the Association and when we take our place on the dais, we do not represent any group or faction, we represent the Association as a whole.

Since its constitution, the DRRC has, in my view, consistently created complications rather than facilitating resolution. Prior to the formation of the DRRC, the Association was functioning smoothly, however, its subsequent involvement has brought additional challenges before us. I respectfully submit that this aspect also deserves serious reflection and discussion.

**Sh. Prasanta Mahanta** : Everyone in some measure, tends to operate within a comfort zone. In the past, President Sir appeared to favour the DRRC which in my view, provided a certain sense of institutional support. It was under this framework that a Special Council Meeting was convened on 02 June 2025 and a new Election Commission (CEC) was nominated. Subsequently, the CEC was nominated at Bhopal was set aside and a new CEC was nominated through the exercise of the President's veto powers in conjunction with the DRRC. I submit that such matters ought to have been discussed collectively with the elected representatives, however, this opportunity for conversation was not afforded. If the objective is to ensure the smooth and democratic functioning of the Association, I respectfully propose that the CEC elected at Bhopal be allowed to continue and that the proceedings of the Special Council Meeting held on 02 Jun 2025 be reviewed and set aside. Should there be any shortcomings in the functioning of the CEC, these should be addressed through due discussion and established procedures.

In this spirit, I submit that those presently holding positions facilitate a transparent transition and empower Shri Praveen Mahana to proceed with the election process in an orderly and democratic manner.

**Sh. Sandeep Srivastava** : As I've already said, disparaging one another won't lead to anything positive. Such an approach will not result in any significant or long-lasting resolution, because the only reason we are gathered here is to find a solution. Instead, let's have a productive conversation based on respect for one another and a dedication to the Association's goals.

**Sh. Gurvinder Singh Oberoi** : I submit that the first violation of the Memorandum of Association (MOA) was the nomination of a Past President as the Chairman of the DRRC. From the very outset, it appeared that the functioning of the DRRC was influenced by group considerations rather than by impartiality, leading to the perception that personal issues were being addressed instead of institutional responsibilities. The manner in which the DRRC has operated reflects, in my view a less than satisfactory state of affairs. Matters such as the grant or termination of membership must strictly follow the prescribed procedures, including providing the concerned member an opportunity to be heard. Termination of membership without due process is a serious concern and deserves condemnation.

Another important issue is clarity on which MOA is to be followed whether the 1978 version or the 2024 version. It is imperative that the registered MOA with the Registrar of Societies be treated as the authoritative document and that elections be conducted strictly in accordance with its provision. Further it is submitted that Headquarters should formally address the involvement of third parties and declare such interventions null and void as their presence is impeding any meaningful resolution. As a first step all such parties should withdraw their cases, after which we can proceed to resolve the issues between Group 'A' and Group 'B' in an orderly manner. Lastly, I propose that the DRRC should be constituted through an election process rather than by nomination so as to ensure transparency, legitimacy and the confidence of the membership.

**Sh. C. Balasatish** : Suddenly we start blaming the DRRC but DRRC was doing his work. Like Sh. Prasanta Mahanta said that before two months DRRC was favorable to us and now DRRC is against us so the fact is that we should not be worried about DRRC whereas we should be worried about running of Association.

**Sh. Ashok Kandoi** : DRRC was biased from last two years. I think DRRC is total pain and we should select DRRC chairman through proper election.

**Sh. Shiv Kumar Gupta** : I concur that elections ought to be held right away. According to one of our knowledgeable office bearer We are stuck with our chair with fevicol,. I want to submit that There are 80 branches and around 10 office bearers in each of our 80 branches, for a total of 800 office bearers from branches and 14 office bearers from the headquarters. There are about 814 office bearers overall, and

since no one has resigned from their position, this fevicol tag is only applied to members of one group. This is wrong Who prevents you from quitting?

Simply resign like Bhagat Singh did. DRRC should file an appeal in court to hold a council meeting, which we are prepared to hold. Some people are unwilling to acknowledge us. You should witness our work over the past two years, in E-in-C office as well as on Annual Day. Why should we not be given credit for that? In a letter titled "Team Headquarter," President Sir stated that we are a cohesive team. We made numerous attempts to hold meetings and to resolve issue. In my opinion, it is irrelevant who will serve as the CEC in order to hold elections. Our voters are smarter than us they know every thing Finally, I propose that we should again call a meeting having five to five members of each group in addition to the office holders to resolve election issue

According to one advocate, some MES BAI members will go to prison on January 30, 2026, while another advocate says it will take 30 years to resolve this issue in court actually they are making fool of us

The term "fevicol" hurts us, even though we are all eager to quit our post as soon as possible. Regarding the few terminated members, we ought to meet with them, assure them that their matter will be discussed at the upcoming council meeting, and let the council make the final decision because they were terminated by council. Even I am ready to give them sign letter to assure them

**Sh. Mahesh Jain** : I am fully agree with the team and I support the decision taken by you all.

**Sh. Sunil Panwar** : First of all I want to know that When DRRC suggested to conduct Special Council Meeting and nominate a new CEC. If we assume that DRRC is supreme then we should agree on DRRC's all decision. Or if not then we should reject all the decisions taken by DRRC after the Bhopal meeting. Our conflict starts when DRRC started giving its decision after the election process started. Is DRRC has more power than Headquarter? Who will give them power to terminate or add any member in middle of the election. If we do not nominate second CEC, then these fights would not have happen. Nobody is worried about the Association. We should not resign till new team got elected. Please eliminate the DRRC as DRRC is doing nothing but making us fight amongst ourselves.

**Sh. Prasanta Mahanta** : I never said the word 'Fevicol' personally but Sh. Shiv Kumar Gupta ji taken this word on another context. I just want to say that let the CEC doing his work and HQ can give them a SOP regarding elections.

**Sh. M. Sundararajan** : My request is to conduct the elections as soon as possible. I think we should select the elected CEC and let him conduct the elections as the issues were started from Special Council Meeting conducted on 2<sup>nd</sup> June 2025. As per the MOA when election process started, DRRC should not intervene in the matter. The main issue is no matters, how much we say that we are neutral but somewhere we are struck in groupism. So from the day one of DRRC, it supports its own group. The image of the Association is vanishing as the departments are also getting some letters against us. I request you all to do something so that the glory of our Association will come back and conduct the elections at the earliest.

**Sh. Vinod Behl** : We respect both the CECs and anybody can conduct the elections but CEC should not create any new rule. CEC is just to conduct the elections according to MOA.

**Sh. Kumar Ashok** : CEC elected in the Bhopal meeting in a very democratic way but the work which they had done was biased for Delhi branch elections. The CEC should conduct the elections according to the MOA but they did not do therefore we reached to Court Cases and new CEC was constituted. It is my humble request you that the CEC should conduct the election in an unbiased way.

**Sh. Pankaj Kr. Prahladka** : I just want to say that I am with the team but the value of DRRC should not be there and we should banned DRRC permanently. I am watching from 2.5 years. When our team was elected at that time also DRRC done some unlawful things and now also DRRC is doing so. DRRC cannot be above the President or Hony. General Secretary.

**Sh. Sandeep Srivastava** : I agree that everyone is dissatisfied with DRRC. The second step is to hold elections. In a letter, the DRRC said it would approach the court after January 20, 2026, and

the next hearing date is January 30, 2026. At headquarters, everyone pays attention to each office holder. Election administration, DRRC action, and court cases were the three things that surfaced. DRRC shouldn't do anything at that point. DRRC is not in charge during elections. Elections ought to be conducted in a free and fair manner, DRRC ought to own up to its mistakes, and please offer your recommendations for modifications to the MOA. We should keep in mind that some branches have seven or nine members, and it costs more than one lakh to attend five council sessions over the course of two years, since the MOA requires a member to attend five council meetings in order to be eligible for any position. The General Body, the Council, the Headquarters, and the CEC or DRRC are in my order of precedence. We should have a virtual gathering following the hearing on January 30, 2026.

**Sh. G.S. Mago** :We appreciate everyone sharing their thoughts on the issues at hand. First and foremost, I ask God to support us and give us the bravery to hold the elections in an amiable, courteous, free, and fair manner in accordance with the MOA. I concur with everyone that elections should be held as soon as possible, however the Hon'ble Court forbade us from talking about any election-related issues. The court offers us a mediator to resolve the conflict. Additionally, we had a great opportunity at the meeting at PDH House because everyone who attended was open-minded, but we were unable to reach a decision. If you agree, there are no court restrictions, therefore we can hold a council meeting to find a solution. We should hold a meeting right away following the hearing on 30<sup>th</sup> January 2026, as Sh. Sandeep Srivastava suggested. I also concur that elections ought to be held as soon as possible. Regarding the third party, I would want to state that everyone has the right to voice their concerns as long as they do so politely. Since we are merely respondents, we are not in any way petitioners. If DRRC files a Court Case after 20<sup>th</sup> January 2026, without giving opportunity to HQ for holding the meeting of office HQ and Council Meeting. DRRC will be responsible for their conduct. HQ will not bear any expenses either legal or Court fees etc. without approval of Council. HQ have not files any Court Case till date and at all if required any state it will be filed only after approval.

**Sh. Shiv Kumar Gupta** : In the last 2-3 years Sh. Sandeep Srivastava came to Delhi around 80 times and the expenses on 1 visit was minimum Rs.15000/- with expense of time also. President Sir is also visiting Delhi every week from Agra and expenses are also there with time and after that when we hear the word 'fevicol', it is very painful. By putting our efforts the enlistment period increased from 5-7 years, Very less documentation for renewal and automatically renewal process completed but we did not get the Credit for the same. You all are a member of HQ team and you did not want to give credit to your President or Secretary and you are saying that you did not believe in groupism. I am very disappointed from today's meeting as we are on the same place and unable to find any solution.

**Sh G.S. Mago** : It is ruled out that a VC of HQ office headquarters will held on 1<sup>st</sup> week of February to discuss further to convene a Special Council Meeting to discuss technical agenda and agenda forwarded by DRRC along with other agenda point which does not violate the Court order and no election related matters/AGM.

**Sh GS Oberoi**. I am seeking a clarification from the President Sir, which I have already shared with you. I would like to know your views with regard to the third angle. Further can HQ issue a letter, duly signed by fourteen members, stating that once the new Council is on the dais, your case will be xyz or in the best possible manner.

**Sh Shiv Kumar Gupta**. Replied OK.

**Sh GS Oberoi**. I want reply from President Sir.

**Sh GS Mago**. Bituji, I have no knowledge about the formation of a new Council at present. If it is God's blessing, it may happen at the earliest. However, I wish to state frankly that I am not mentally strong for the past two months and in the present situation, it is not possible for me to take any initiative on this matter. There is no weakness in my position or intent but given the current circumstances, this is the first and foremost constraint. Further if all agree and subject to the provisions of the MOA, we need to examine

whether, during the election process, it is permissible to induct or remove any member, as this may create complication.

Two things cannot proceed simultaneously. On one hand, the case is sub Judice before a court of law and on the other hand there is a desire to take decisions regarding induction or removal of members from the Association. However, if there is consensus among all members and it is permissible under the MOA, we may explore whatever is possible even without the Council. To reach this stage, collective initiative and cooperation from all concerned is essential to bring the matter back within the framework of the Association.

**Sh GS Oberoi.** First and foremost, we need to proceed with a positive approach. If we move forward positively, it will be possible to resolve the matter.

**Sh GS Mago.** Kindly discuss the matter and let us know the course of action to be taken..

**Sh GS Obreoi.** Why should I tell you? This is a collective matter and everyone should participate in taking a decision..

**Sh GS Mago.** I am not addressing this to you individually, I am placing it before all participants to share their views and let us know the way forward. One thing is clear that the process has been adopted properly. If everyone is agreeable, I would also suggest that we come together on a common platform to restore him as member of our fraternity. Once a mistake has been committed, a person should not be debarred forever, especially when there is a genuine desire to return to the Association.

Let us therefore take some time to reflect and then arrive a considered decision through discussion with you, Mr Sandeep Srivastava and all other concerned members.

**Sh GS Oberoi.** Discussions are taking place on a daily basis, however, unless we move forward with a positive approach, the effort will not succeed.

**Sh GS Mago.** I am with you and with everyone in adopting a positive approach to resolve the matter. I thank all participants for their valuable time and contribution from 11:00 AM to 02:00 PM. This has been a noteworthy and fruitful meeting.



(Sandeep Srivastava)  
Hony. General Secretary  
HQ, MES BAI