

Tel Nos.26418776/26442749
 Fax No: 011-26442749
 E-mail:mesbai75@yahoo.com
 Website: www.mesbai.in

MES BUILDERS ASSOCIATION OF INDIA (REGD)
 807-808, Sahyog 58, Nehru Place, New Delhi-110019

Dispute Redressal and Reconciliation Committee (DRRC) 2023-2025

Ref. No. MBAI HQ/DRRC/ 2023-2025/ 35

Dated: 15th March 2025

MINUTES OF THE DRRC MEETING HELD ON 10th- 11th MAR 2025 AT MESBAI HQ, NEW DELHI

The following members attended the meeting

- | | |
|------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| 1. Sh. Lakhbir Singh | Chairman |
| 2. Sh. Papinder Singh Gujral | Member |
| 3. Sh. Surjeet Singh | Member |
| 4. Sh Ajay Jain | Member |
| 5. Sh G S Mago | President (Ex officio Member) |
| 6. Sh Sandeep Srivastva | Hony gen Secy (Ex Officio Member) |

The following member was granted leave of application

- | | |
|---------------------|--------|
| 1. Sh Sanjay Mittal | Member |
|---------------------|--------|

In the matter of following complaint / grievances received :-

1. Complaint of Sh. Prasanta Mahanta

Ref Sh Prasanta Mahanta' s following letters:-

- (i) MESBAI/JGS/28/2024-25 dated 04-11-2024
- (ii) MESBAI/JGS/29/2024-25 dated 13-11-2024
- (iii) MESBAI/JGS/31/2024-25 dated 23-12-2024

The following video recordings/documents were checked & verified from the records of HQ. In addition to the above, hard copy of the following documents were also checked and verified

- (a) Video recording Minutes of Council meeting held on 13th Jun 2024 at Ayodhya.
- (b) Video recording of Council meeting held on 31st Jul 2024.
- (c) Video recording of Annual General Body Meeting held on 31st Jul 2024.
- (d) Video recording of Council meeting at Gwalior held on 21 Sep 2024.
- (e) Video recording of Council meeting at Jodhpur held on 18 Nov 2024.
- (f) Video recording of Council Meeting at Coimbatore on 18.12.2024.
- (g) Minutes of Council meeting held on 13th Jun 2024 at Ayodhya.
- (h) Council meeting held on 31st Jul 2024.
- (i) Annual General Body Meeting held on 31st Jul 2024.
- (j) Council meeting at Gwalior held on 21 Sep 2024.
- (k) Council meeting at Jodhpur held on 18 Nov 2024.



- (l) Council Meeting at Coimbatore on 18.12.2024.
- (m) Council Meeting & AGM at Air Force Auditorium, Subroto Park Held on 1st and 2nd Feb 2024.
- (n) Notice for Council Meeting held on 13.06.2024 at Ayodhya. (Notice no.7 Dated 23.05.2024)
- (o) Notice for Council Meeting held on 31.07.2024 at Jacaranda Hall, Lodhi Road (Circular No.8 Dated 06.07.2024)
- (p) Notice for Council Meeting held on 31.07.2024 at Jacaranda Hall, Lodhi Road (Circular No.8 Dated 11.07.2024)
- (q))Notice for Council Meeting held on 21.09.2024 at Gwalior. (Notice No. 9 Dated 27.08.2024)
- (r) Notice for Council Meeting held on 18.11.2024 at Jodhpur (Notice No. 10 Dated 5.11.2024)
- (s) Notice for Council Meeting held on 18.12.2024 at Coimbatore (Notice No. 11 Dated 20.11.2024)

After examination of records held by HQ for all the Council meetings and their minutes circulated by HQ in which Amendments to the MOA were discussed as well as of AGM meeting held on 20th Feb 2025 at New Delhi where Sh Prasanta Mahanta officiated as Hony Gen Secretary during council meeting as well as that of Annual General body meeting where all the minutes of earlier Council meeting as well as of AGM (on minutes of which he has earlier objections as revealed from his complaint) were confirmed and passed which were presented by him in Council meeting / AGM only in the house and no objection was ever raised by Sh Prasanta Mahanta in any of the above referred meetings, the DRRC comes to following conclusions :-


- I. that Sh. Prasanta Mahanta had never raised any objection in Council meetings nor had objected on minutes circulated by the HQ earlier to his complaint .
- II. the Amendment to MOA duly approved and recommended by the Council put up to the AGM were approved the same on 31st July 2024. The minutes of the AGM circulated and approved by the AGM held on 20th Feb 2025 which were put up to AGM by Sh Prasanta Mahanta himself as officiating Hony Gen Secy and same were approved by the AGM unanimously without any objection.

Decision of the DRRC :-

The DRRC decides that the complaint/grievances made by Sh. Prasanta Mahanta are not maintainable and stands rejected since he himself endorsed the minutes of AGM held on 31 st July 2024 by presenting the same to the AGM for Approval without any protest.

2. Complaint of Sh. Jitesh Bhambhani :

Reference letter of Sh Jitesh Bhambhani (Ex) member of Bhopal Branch From the records held in HQ, It is found that action taken by President / office bearers of HQ for expulsion of Sh. Jitesh Bhambhani was correct. Subsequently on the recommendation of President decision was taken by the Council unanimously after detailed discussions to terminate membership of Sh. Jitesh Bhambhani from



the MES Builders Association of India (Regd.) in the Council meeting held at Coimbatore on 18th Dec'24 was justified and correct.

Decision of the DRRC :-

The decision of council on termination of membership of Sh Jitesh Bhambani was in order as per the Memorandum and Articles of Association of M E S BUILDERS ASSOCIATION OF INDIA (REGD) and DRRC Upheld this decision.

3. Complaint of Sh. H. Tulsani :

Refer letter of Sh H Tulsani ; letter dated 20th Jan 2025 and subsequent letters / emails.

From the records held in HQ, It is found that action taken by the Council for Termination of Sh. H. Tulsani was correct. Subsequently after happening of unwanted creation on the part of Sh H Tulsani, on the recommendation of President, decision was taken by the Council to terminate membership of Sh. H. Tulsani from the MES Builders Association of India (Regd.) in the Council meeting held at New Delhi on 20th Feb'25 after detailed discussions, was justified and correct. Further to that since he violates Article No. 25.a) of Memorandum and Articles of Association of M E S BUILDERS ASSOCIATION OF INDIA (REGD) his membership stands automatically disqualified.

Decision of the DRRC :-

The decision of council on termination of membership of Sh H Tulsania was justified and Upheld by the DRRC. Further to that he automatically disqualified from membership in accordance with the Article 25.a) of MOA , there is no question arises to address his grievances further.

4. Complaint of Sh. Ramveer Singh Chahar :

Refer letter of Sh Ramveer singh dt 30.01.2025 and 03.03.2025

From the records held in HQ, it is established that the Termination of Sh Ramveer Singh in wilful indiscipline reported by the Branch& recommended by the Vice President, Central 'A' Region was correct. Subsequently on the recommendation of President decision taken by Council to terminate membership of Sh. Ramveer Singh Chahar from the MES Builders Association of India (Regd.) in the Council meeting held at Coimbatore on 18th Dec'24 was justified and correct. Further to that since he violates Article No. 25.a) of Memorandum and Articles of Association of M E S BUILDERS ASSOCIATION OF INDIA (REGD), his membership stands automatically disqualified. He Also appeared personally before the DRRC on 11 Mar 2025 and tried to convince the DRRC for his action in approaching the court without exhausting the interim mechanism of our association but could not produce any satisfying evidence in his support as on today.

Decision of the DRRC :-

The decision of council on termination of membership of Sh Ramveer Singh Chahar is justified and upheld by the DRRC

5. Complaint of Sh. Tarun Mangla :

Reference to letter of Sh Tarun Mangla dt 16th Feb 2025

After going through all the documents available in the HQ, action taken by HQ to resolve the matter amicably.

During the Discussion on the matter at meeting of DRRC held on 10th Mar'25 at Headquarter, MESBAI, New Delhi, Sh. Rajendra Singh Yadav, Chairman, Mathura Branch has given an option that to maintain the brotherhood in the Branch an amicable decision has to be taken. It was proposed by Sh. Rajendra Singh Yadav that membership already approved by the Council in Coimbatore meeting as intimated to the Individual members by Headquarter, MESBAI, New Delhi should exits with a rider that their membership will come effect from 1st April 2025. Also already paid subscription amount and entrance fees by those members will be adjusted in next year subscription and entrance fees stands received and they will enjoy all the privilege as ordinary member of Association after 1st April 2025.

On 11th Mar 2025 Sh Tarun Mangla also appeared before the DRRC and agreed to the proposal given by the Chairman Mathura Branch and mutual consent letter in this regard was signed by both of them as a token of settlement of the matter.

Decision of the DRRC :-

The membership of newly enrolled members of Mathura branch will come into effect from 01st April 2025 i.e. accordingly as per MOA they will be able to enjoy all rights and privileges of an ordinary member, they will able to participate in the activities of branch further onwards and will be able to participate in elections of branch for the next election term i.e. 2027-29 as per MOA provisions.

6. Complaint of Sh. S Venkatswamy, Chairman Coimbatore Branch,.

Refer letter of Mr S Venkatswamy dt 18th Jan 2025.

From the records held at the HQ, It is found that the Sh M Sunderajan V P Southern Region (S) and S Sarvanan Chairman Wellington branch had been creating hindrances in the functioning of newly raised Branch at Coimbatore defying the instructions of HQ, indulged in obstructing the council meeting held at Coimbatore and intentionally holding their diary release function at the territory of Coimbatore branch and wilfully going against the decisions of HQ. This matter was also discussed in the DRRC meeting held on 05th Jan 2025.

The relevant part of the minutes of DRRC are reproduced here below. :
Complaint of Coimbatore branch through Chairman : This has been intimated by the Chairman of Coimbatore branch that Wellington branch is over stepping into the rights & privileges of Coimbatore branch trying to dominate them again interfering by entered into the working of Coimbatore branch. Firstly they have

opposed the opening of the branch at Coimbatore. He brought out several acts to disestablishing the Newly raised Branch at Coimbatore under leadership of Mr M Sunderajan as briefed under:-

- a) Firstly Mr M Sunderajan being Vice president of southern (S)Region had opposed the opening of Coimbatore branch for his personal political motives.,
- b) Thereafter Sh M Sunderajan conducted a regional meet in Coimbatore Branch territory without their consent and without inviting them in the regional meet, which is against the spirit of MOA.
- c) When HQ assigned holding a Council meeting at Coimbatore in the month of Dec 2024, Mr. M Sunderajan through various VC meetings instigated the Council / general members of southern Region (S) not to attend this council meeting.
- d) Mr M Sundrajan, Mr Azeezullakhan and MR N Tilak along with a Past President approached the Chief Guest and Guest of Honour not to attend the council meeting as their everything is not good in Association and there is every likelihood of happening of squabble and violence between the members in the Council meeting.
- e) Besides of that Coimbatore branch members personally went to the Residence of Mr M Sundrajan to invite him for council meeting but he arrogantly refused & deliberately not attended the meeting which was conducted under his own Region violating his assigned duty of charter as per the Memorandum and Articles of M E S BUILDERS ASSOCIATION OF INDIA (REGD)(in short MOA).
- f) NOW AGAIN In a revengeful manner the Wellington branch had planned to have their Diary release function at Coimbatore. Which was totally against the spirit of MOA and entering into the jurisdiction of & Territory of Coimbatore branch without the consent of Coimbatore branch.
- g) Mr M Sundrajan again defying the instructions of HQ as well as instigating other branches of Southern (S) to boycott and not to invite or attend the function of Coimbatore Branch.

After going into the details of all happenings in Southern Region (S) , it was the opinion of the DRRC that Wellington branch should not interfere into the territory of Coimbatore Branch and they should hold their Diary release function in their own territory only or they should obtain consent of Coimbatore Branch. Since the opening of Coimbatore branch there is dispute and reconciliation between the branches is required. It was unanimously decided by the DRRC to instruct the Wellington Branch to change the venue of Diary release function of Wellington Branch to their own territory and accordingly DRRC had instructed to Wellington Branch to change the venue of their Branch Dairy release function. HQ had also instructed to invite Chairman, Secretary of both the branches along with VP Southern (S) through a physical or VC meeting for reconciliation but before the next council meeting. (ii)Further various emails and telephonic requests made to both of you, you did not bothered to comply the directions of HQ and DRRC also.

Decision of the DRRC :

Since Mr M Sunderajan ,Vice President Southern R (S) and Sh Sarvanan , Chairman Wellington Branch had not complied with the instructions contained in the DRRC decision and after going through all the documents available in the HQ MES BAI , The DRRC therefore decided that:-

The failure of Mr M Sunderajan to comply with the directions of The HQ, President and DRRC as conveyed under to them before Diary release function of Wellington branch at Coimbatore , their rights and privileges as an ordinary member of the association will stands suspended under MOA of MES BUILDERS' ASSOCIATION OF INDIA (REGD) till their matter is reconsidered by the DRRC for final disciplinary action against them.

7. Complaint of Sh. Harpal Singh, Bareilly Branch :

Refer Letter of Sh Harpal Singh past Chairman of Bareilly Branch :

The complaint / grievances of Sh Harpal singh is that certain MES Enlisted members have applied for enrolment as member of MES BAI since last more than a year's time through Bareilly Branch. The applications / enrolment fees were received by the branch but are lying unactioned till then. Certain Membership applications of Pithoragarh / Ranikht area were also lying pending with the Bareilly branch from long period of time.

After verifying all the documents available and the decision of the council meeting held at Coimbatore the President gave the decision that there are cases of fresh enrolments lying pending with certain branches as the applications are not being forwarded by branches to HQ for new enrolment for one or other reasons. All applications lying for more than 45 days with branches if not forwarded to HQ for enrolment as Member of Association, the HQ will enrol all such MES enlisted contractors as members of MES BAI with allotting their membership to the branches from where applicants has shown their intend to get the services.

Also it was little surprising to see that at HQ level , gross negligence happened. It is essential to stat that as per Article 27.5 g), it is very well written that:-

27.5 g) " When the membership of a Branch falls below 20 in existing Branches and below 30 in Branches established after 01.09.2012, for a continuous period of six months, the Branch will stand automatically suspended on the expiry of the said period of six months. It will be duty of Branch to report to the Headquarters whenever it's membership falls below the minimum required Numbers. The members of such suspended Branch shall entitled and / or eligible to join any other adjacent Branch till such time the Branch attains the minimum strength."

It is noticed from the available records held at HQ neither Branch reported the same to the HQ nor the HQ had bother to monitor the same. The remaining members Of that Branch were the badly effected by depriving their rights to be members of MES BAI through their near by Branch due to non action on part of HQ in this serious issue.

Decision of DRRC After going the documents in the case of new enrolments in Barielly branch, it is decided by the DRRC that all applications received by HQ till date will be enrolled as new members of MES BAI and their membership are deemed to be as a member from the Bareilly branch. The HQ is directed to issue the enrolment letter of all such applicants before 30th Mar 2025. Special concern & consideration be given to the existing members of Pithoragarh branch before Branch strength falls below the minimum



required Numbers who had applied as a New member or otherwise their membership be stands transferred to the Bareilly Branch considering their Enrolment form as a letter of request for transfer to Bareilly Branch in accordance with the Article No27.5 g) of MOA .

8. Complaint of Sh. Rajeev Chowdhary :

Refer letter of Sh Rajeev Chowdhary dt 24 Jan 2025 and subsequent letters :

The objections raised by Sh Rajeev Chowdhary regarding minutes of Jodhpur Branch has already been addressed by The HQ .

Secondly on his objection regarding procedure lapses in terminating the membership of Sh Atul Prashar. After going through all the documents available in the HQ, the Termination of Sh Atul Parashar from the Association warrants review by adhering to laid down procedures.

Decision of DRRC : It was decided by the DRRC that status quo in the matter of Termination/ Expulsion of Sh Atul Parashar as Decided by the Council/ President be maintained till the matter again put to Council for review of the earlier decision taken by the Council at the wisdom of the Council. DRRC further directs that In this matter, strict procedure as laid down as per Article No 9) and other relevant provisions of Memorandum and Articles of Association of M E S BUILDERS ASSOCIATION OF INDIA (REGD) be followed by giving sufficient opportunities to Sh Atul Parashar to defend/ present his matter to the Council. The Council after hearing the reply/ response of Sh Atul Parashar in the matter will further decide that the Termination of Sh Atul Parashar needs review or not. Accordingly after hearing & discussing matter in the Council, the Council should decide weather earlier decision taken by the Council be upheld or the Termination of Sh Atul Parashar be revoked. Till that time the present status quo be maintained.

9. Complaint if Sh Atul Prashar :

Refer letter of Sh Atul Prashar dated 26 Dec 2024 , all subsequent letter & emails till 10-11 Mar 2025.

Since the matter had been already addressed by the DRRC under Complaint made by Sh Rajeev Chowdhary in preceeding para above , since the DRRC decides to maintain status quo in the matter of Termination/ expulsion of Sh Atul Parashar till matter reviewed by the Council as per the directions issued by the DRRC, their is no further need to discuss the matter again.

Decision of DRRC : The DRRC decides that status quo in the matter of Termination/ expulsion of Sh Atul Parashar be maintained till Council review it's decision and the complaint made by the Sh Atul Parashar be kept in abeyance till that time.

10. Complaint of President Sh G S Mago in the matter of complaint made to Registrar of companies :

After the detailed discussions and verifying from the documents available in the HQ and considering the discussions in last DRRC meeting held on 05th Jan 2025 which is reproduced here below :

The Relevant part of minutes of DRRC meeting held on 5th Jan 2025 are reproduced here below.

Agenda No 8 : Discussion on Complaint made to Registrar of Societies (SDM COURT JAM NAGAR HOUSE, NEW DELHI) as reported by President on behalf of HQ MES BAI NEW DELHI:-

President Sh G S Mago briefed about some Complaints made by twenty members from Jabalpur & one member from Bhopal Branch and one non member named M/S Baba Developers from Bhopal to the Registrar of societies.

It was also informed by HQ that a complaint in the Registrar of society act 1860 Sub Divisional Magistrate , Jam Nagar House New Delhi Companies New Delhi have been filed by twenty members from Jabalpur, one member from Bhopal Branch and one non member M/S Baba Developers from Bhopal. Petition signed individually by each of them and collectively also. Complaining Violation of Memorandum and article of Association of MES Builders Association of India and manipulation of funds by MES Builders Association of India. A Notice was served to HQ MES Builders association by SDM Registrar of Societies Jam Nagar House New Delhi which was suitably replied by the HQ through Lawyer.

HQ also inquired the matter individually with all the members of Association (20 from Jabalpur and 1 from Bhopal). All the petitions are found to be written and typed in exactly identical to each other and written in connivance to each other.

A show cause notice was also issued to them. In their reply collectively all have agreed that they have filed this petition with SDM Registrar and also confirmed that they have not handed over their petitions to non member M/S Baba Developers. But they have not made any request to HQ for non termination of their membership. And one member from Bhopal has replied individually.

It is also brought to notice that Mr Jiresh Bhambani, the expelled member from Bhopal branch who also lost elections in last elections of Bhopal branch, had also served a notice to HQ MES BAI through his lawyer Mr Prayga Bhushan. It is pertinent to notice that it's the same lawyer which served the notice of M/S Baba developers and all others from Jabalpur and Bhopal branch.

As per clause 25 a) of MOA the membership of any member automatically terminated when a member approaches any court of Law , without exhausting the internal mechanism of our association to resolve any issue of any member, group of members or branch.

All these happenings lead to the quarry that who instigated all these members to file petition and who have written all the petitions and how all these petitions were handed over to M/s Baba developers and who else is behind this false and fake

conspiracy as the issue raised is total untrue . All relevant Documents placed on records of DRRC.

Decision of DRRC :

- (i) A show cause notice be served to Mr G S Oberoi Vice president Central (A) Region to clarify his position in this whole matter and submit report on this. His report / clarification should clearly focus on these issues specifically along with his complete reply, up to and including 31st Jan 2025 .In the absence of which DRRC will proceed further without any notice:-
- who instigated the members of Jabalpur and Bhopal branch to file this false and fake petition in court of law;
 - who get the petitions typed and signed from these members.
 - Who handed over these petition to M/S Baba Developers.
 - The builder members who are involved in this conspiracy
- (ii) A Notice be served to M/S Baba Developers to clarify from where he has received these petitions of 20 members of Jabalpur and one petition of Bhopal branch member failing which a suitable criminal conspiracy case in the court of law be filed against him for defamation of MES Builders Association.
- (iii) Till the clarification and responsibility of this whole conspiracy is brought out for all builders of Pan India the automatic termination of 20 members of Jabalpur and one member of Bhopal branch will be kept in abeyance.
- (iv) For any organization discipline is required to be maintained. Only after receipt of complete report from Sh G S Oberoi VP Central(A) region, the DRRC will pronounce its final verdict on the subject matter.
- (iv) Since Sh G S Oberoi Vice President Central 'A' Region had not complied with the instructions contained in the DRRC decision and after going through all the documents available in the HQ MES BAI

The DRRC therefore decided that:-

- Either Sh G S Oberoi Vice President Central 'A' Region may obey the directions issued by DRRC up to 28th Mar 2025,**
- Or otherwise, Since Sh G S Oberoi Vice President Central 'A' Region failure to comply with the directions of The DRRC as conveyed under decisions of DRRC meeting held on 05th Jan 2025 above , the rights and privileges of Sh G S Oberoi Vice President Central 'A' Region an ordinary member of the association will remain suspended under MOA of MES BUILDERS ASSOCIATION OF INDIA (REGD) till his matter as reconsidered by the DRRC if he desire so.**

11. Complaint of Sh. Bilal Ahmad Khan :

Refer letter of MR Billal dt 17.02.2025 since Mr Billal was election Chairman of Baramulla Branch for the elections of branch for the year 2023-25 and he himself

has conducted the elections and forwarded the name so ff elected members to HQ. Raising the issue of branch accounts at this stage is not sustainable
Decision of DRRC

The complaint of Mr Billal is time barred and hence rejected.

12. Complaint of Smt. Sanjogita Tyagi :

Refer letter of Smt Sanjogita Tyagi dt 16 Nov 2024.

Since the Delhi Branch has already recommended the restoration of membership of M/S M O Tyagi as Patron member but due to some court case the HQ has raised recovery for certain amount against the firm.

The matter was discussed in details and HQ was instructed to produce the copy of Court case filed by the firm to verify whether it is by firm or individual partner and the amount of penalty against the firm / individual immediately enabling the DRRC to take decision without further delay.

13. Complaint in General body meeting in Ranchi Branch : .


Sh V P SANJIV , Chairman, Ranchi Branch approached to the DRRC on behalf of Ranchi Branch in the captioned subject matter for adjudication under his letter No MBAI-RAN-HQ-56-2023-25 dated 27 Feb 2025.

- 1) All the involved in the complaint made by Sh V P SANJIV , Chairman Ranchi Branch on behalf of Ranchi Branch, had been informed that in spite of repeatedly directed by HQ to extend their apology with the Branch for committing violation of MOA by involving and conducting Parallel Activities At Ranchi concurrent with the Local Branch of MES BAI as communicated to them by their parent Branch and HQ also before initiating any disciplinary action against them.
- 2) The DRRC had examined all the available records held at HQ MES BAI office to adjudicate the captioned matter and comes to its conclusion and decision in the matter.
- 3) The DRRC while taking decision in the matter, had relied upon the following correspondences / letters available on records:
 - (a) HQ. Letter No. MBAI/126/2023 dt. 15-12-2023.
 - (b) Letter dated 23-12-2023.
 - (c) Ranchi Branch Letter No. MBAI/RAN/HQ/25/2023-25 dt 11-10-23.
 - (d) Ranchi Branch Letter No. MBAI /Ranchi/HQ/33/2023-25 dt. 26-12-2023
 - (d) HQ. Letter no. MBAI/Ranchi/02/2024 dt. 28-05-2024.
 - (e) HQ. Letter No. MBAI/Ranchi/06/2024 dt. 28-08-2024.
 - (f) reply letter dt. 05-09-2024 received by mail on 06-09-2024.
 - (f) HQ Letter No. MBAI /Ranchi/01/2025 dt. 29 Jan 2025.

- 4) It is found that The General body of Ranchi Branch passed a resolution as per Article No 9 (d) of Memorandum and Articles of Association of M E S BUILDERS ASSOCIATION OF INDIA (REGD) addressing concerns related to involvement of resident members of Ranchi Branch for indulging in activities which were detrimental to the Interest of Association by involving in parallel activities. The General Body of Ranchi Branch strongly condemned their actions and decided to issue show cause notice on their involvement in anti association activities that why disciplinary action against the respondents should not be taken. Their replies against show cause Notice served by Branch Chairman was considered by General Body of Ranchi Branch and unanimously passed resolution to recommended Council to Terminate the respondents from the Ordinary Membership of Association as per Article 9 (d) of Memorandum and Articles of Association of M E S BUILDERS ASSOCIATION OF INDIA (REGD).
- 5) It is further noticed seriously by the DRRC that the respondents had willfully ignored the HQ directions issued on the basis of Decisions taken in Council meeting while discussing the matter of recommendation of Ranchi Branch to terminate the membership from the Association.
- 6) It has been very much established from the communications examined by the DRRC that the respondents had ignored HQ advise at their own and not responding from their end to comply with properly under repeated instructions issued by the HQ to the respondents and not even respondents acknowledge their lapse/mistake committed by them unknowingly/otherwise by the individual without any reservations. This default on the part of Respondent falls under the Article No 7(h) of Memorandum and Articles of Association of M E S BUILDERS ASSOCIATION OF INDIA (REGD) and the DRRC is of opinion that it is necessary to take disciplinary action against you to maintain decorum of Branch working as well as the Authority of HQ which is acting on advice of Council.

The DRRC therefore decided that:-

- (a) Either respondents may obey the directions issued by the HQ on the advice of Council up to 28th Mar 2025, after receiving this decision through any means i.e. By email, speed post or registered letter.**
- (b) Or otherwise, In case your failure to comply with the directions of The DRRC as conveyed under Para 8(a) above by the time line fixed by the DRRC , your rights and privileges as an ordinary member will remain freezed under MOA of MES BUILDERS ASSOCIATION OF INDIA (REGD) till your matter is reconsidered by the DRRC, if they desire so.**



This letter is sent through email only and uploaded on MES BAI Web site.

Thanks & regards



(Lakhbir Singh)

Chairman DRRC 2023-2025 TERM, lakhbirconstructions@rediffmail.com .

Copy to (email only) :-

All DRRC MEMBERS, HQ MES BAI NEW DELHI – for information please.

HQ MES BAI New Delhi : to upload the Letter on website and follow the instructions and decisions given and amend your HQ data accordingly.